Received 24.11.15 16.00

NEWCASTLE · UNDER · LYME BOROUGH COUNCIL



CALL-IN REQUEST FORM

Decision reference/minute no.	Agenda item 6 Cabinet meeting	
Date of publication of decision:	17/11/2015	
Decision taken by:	CABINET.	
This form must be returned to the Chief Executive within 7 working days of the decision being published with at least 5 signatures		
Decision called-in:		
Asset Disposals:	land at Sheldon Grove,	
	Chasteston	
A call-in should satisfy one or more of the following criteria.		
Which of the following criteria supports the call-in of this decision? (please tick)		
The decision may be contrary to the budget or policy framework set by the Council and the Monitoring Officer has advised accordingly		
The decision is inconsistent with another Council policy		
The decision is inconsistent with a previous Overview and Scrutiny recommendation, which has been accepted by the Council or the Cabinet		
The decision maker has not tak this can be demonstrated by re decision	ken into account relevant considerations and ference to the documents supporting the	
The decision maker has failed to consult relevant people or bodies in contravention of defined Council policies or procedures		
The decision has or will demon	strate a significant adverse public reaction	
The decision gives rise to signif	ficant legal, financial or propriety issues	

Please explain how the relevant criteria	ahove are met by this call-in:		
Please explain how the relevant criteria above are met by this call-in: There has been an adverse reachen by the public to the Cabinet			
BARREDOS ONALSTANDA ITILITE POS	adents in the area are unnappy		
l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	and correct at the capital and full	9	
and the second s	I ABLI NOT OF THE COMMINICATION IN THE	<i>(</i> *	
councils committees or menibers of	and residents have been deried an	unhan also	
opportunity to be consulted on the final decenar made the new resolution also			
Suggested proposal you would like to be voted on at the call-in meeting made during			
(this should be an evidence-based proposal and you should provide evidence) the total			
to support the proposal) The value of this site as an informal open consultation space has not been assessed the to its excursion from the and reflected			
space has not been assessed due to its excursion from the and reflected			
green space smothly. I would collected be seek and at I in his most			
SILLOUI GROVE BY SUSSIENCE OF A LOL CONTRIBLE TO 100 ML			
council ectives icego mis land as green space approspace in line with			
the protections afforded to other sites in the borough, if the consider			
are not willing to frifit this request on behalf of the residents I would like for Josephile to agree to include the site within the organg			
members requesting call-in of the decision: www save shared results to the			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	it an be assessed differnely a	gainst other	
Name	Signature Date	sites as to	
1. SOPHIA SNELL		ets value as	
2. Robert Wallace	17/11/15.	informial open	
3. David toport	100 1 23 11 IS	space.	
4. HILDA JOHNSON	H. Johnson 2311/15		
5. MARK HOLLAND A	12.11.15		
6.			
THIS PART OF THE FORM IS TO BE COMPLETED BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE			
OR HIS/HER REPRESENTATIVE			
Date and time form received:			
Form processed by (name):			
rom processed by (name).			
Date of publication of decision:			
•			
Was the call-in request received within 7	YES/NO		
working days of publication?	*		
Are there at least E appropriets Manufacture	If no reject and inform relevant parties		
Are there at least 5 appropriate Members' signatures on the call-in notice?	YES/NO		
o.gataroo on the can-in notice:	If no reject and inform relevant parties		
Which Overview and Scrutiny Committee	i ojost ana inform tolovant parties		
will this call-in be referred to?			
Signature of Chair / Vice-	Date:		

The appropriate decision making body, Members requesting call-in, the Monitoring Officer, the Licensing and Democratic Services Manager and the Scrutiny Officer need to be informed of receipt of call-in form.

Chair of relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee